This Opinion is Not a Precedent of the TTAB

Mailed: February 27, 2023

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_

Trademark Trial and Appeal Board

\_\_\_\_

In re Matthew A. Handal

\_\_\_\_

Serial No. 90340590

\_\_\_\_

Matthew A. Handal, pro se.

Kapil K. Bhanot, Trademark Examining Attorney, Law Office 108, Kathryn E. Coward, Managing Attorney.

By the Board:

This Board appeal includes a refusal under Section 2(c) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1052(c), on the ground that the applied-for mark comprises the name of a particular living individual without that person's written consent. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, in *In re Elster*, 26 F.4th 1328, 2022 USPQ2d 195 (Fed. Cir. 2022), held that the Office's application of Section 2(c) was unconstitutional. On January 27, 2023, the Office filed a petition for a writ of certiorari with the U.S. Supreme Court seeking review of the decision in *In re Elster*. The final decision in *In re Elster*, or of the appeal thereof may have a bearing on this present proceeding. In the interest of judicial economy, and consistent with the

Board's inherent authority to manage its proceedings, suspension is appropriate. *Cf.*TBMP Section 1213. See also Trademark Examination Guide 1-23 at <a href="https://www.uspto.gov/sites/default/files/documents/TM-ExamGuide-1-23.pdf">https://www.uspto.gov/sites/default/files/documents/TM-ExamGuide-1-23.pdf</a>.

Accordingly, this Board proceeding is hereby suspended pending any decision by the Supreme Court that finally resolves the issues in that case.